

DAMAGE SURVEY REPORT (DSR)
Emergency Watershed Protection Program – Recovery

Section 1A

Date of Report: March 15, 2006

DSR Number: 019-05-069R Project Number: _____

NRCS Entry Only

Eligible: YES X NO _____
Approved: YES X NO _____
Funding Priority Number (from Section 4) 2de
Limited Resource Area: YES _____ NO X

Section 1B Sponsor Information

Sponsor Name: Gravity Drainage District No. 8

Address: 2841 Goss Road

City/State/Zip: Lake Charles, LA 70611

Telephone Number: 337 855-4388 Fax: 337 855-4388

Section 1C Site Location Information

County: Calcasieu State: Louisiana Congressional District: 7th

Latitude: 30.35074 Longitude: -93.26283 Section: 23 Township: 8S Range: 9W
30.35163 -93.26669

UTM Coordinates: _____

Drainage Name: IB4 Reach: 4700ft

Damage Description: Fallen trees and debris accumulation in drain and various culverts along drainage system from Hurricane Rita.

Section 1D Site Evaluation

All answers in this Section must be YES in order to be eligible for EWP assistance.

Site Eligibility	YES	NO	Remarks
Damage was a result of a natural disaster?*	x		
Recovery measures would be for runoff retardation or soil erosion prevention?*	x		
Threat to life and/or property?*	x		
Event caused a sudden impairment in the watershed?*	x		
Imminent threat was created by this event?*	x		
For structural repairs, not repaired twice within ten years?*	x		
Site Defensibility			
Economic, environmental, and social documentation adequate to warrant action? (Go to pages 3, 4, 5 and 6 ***)	x		
Proposed action technically viable? (Go to Page 9 ***)	x		

Have all the appropriate steps been taken to ensure that all segments of the affected population have been informed of the EWP program and its possible effects? YES X NO _____

Comments: _____

* Statutory

** Regulation

*** DSR Pages 3 through 6 and 9 are required to support the decisions recorded on this summary page. If additional space is needed on this or any other page in this form, add appropriate pages. 1 of 14

Approved 7/2005

Section 1E Proposed Action


Describe the preferred alternative from Findings: Section 5 A:

Debris of tree tops, trunks, and roots need to be removed by hauling away these obstacles to an approved land fill site. The equipment utilizing south side of drainage system would cause less impact to immediate area.

Total installation cost identified in this DSR: Section 3: \$

Section 1F NRCS State Office Review and Approval

Reviewed By: _____


State EWP Program Manager

Date Reviewed: 4/5/06

Approved By: _____

State Conservationist

Date Approved: _____

PRIVACY ACT AND PUBLIC BURDEN STATEMENT

NOTE: The following statement is made in accordance with the Privacy Act of 1974, (5 U.S.C. 552a) and the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, as amended. The authority for requesting the following information is 7 CFR 624 (EWP) and Section 216 of the Flood Control Act of 1950, Public Law 81-516, 33 U.S.C. 701b-1; and Section 403 of the Agricultural Credit Act of 1978, Public Law 95-334, as amended by Section 382, of the Federal Agriculture Improvement and Reform Act of 1996, Public Law 104-127, 16 U.S.C. 2203. EWP, through local sponsors, provides emergency measures for runoff retardation and erosion control to areas where a sudden impairment of a watershed threatens life or property. The Secretary of Agriculture has delegated the administration of EWP to the Chief or NRCS on state, tribal and private lands.

Signing this form indicates the sponsor concurs and agrees to provide the regional cost-share to implement the EWP recovery measure(s) determined eligible by NRCS under the terms and conditions of the program authority. Failure to provide a signature will result in the applicant being unable to apply for or receive a grant the applicable program authorities. Once signed by the sponsor, this information may not be provided to other agencies. IRS, Department of Justice, or other State or Federal Law Enforcement agencies, and in response to a court or administrative tribunal.

The provisions of criminal and civil fraud statutes, including 18 U.S.C. 286, 287, 371, 641, 651, 1001; 15 U.S.C. 714m; and 31 U.S.C. 3729 may also be applicable to the information provided. According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0578-0030. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 117/1.96 minutes/hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, field reviews, gathering, designing, and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection information.

USDA NONDISCRIMINATION STATEMENT

"The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.)

Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination write USDA, Director of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20250-9410 or call (800)795-3272 (voice) or (202)720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Civil Rights Statement of Assurance

The program or activities conducted under this agreement will be in compliance with the nondiscrimination provisions contained in the Titles VI and VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended; the Civil Rights Restoration Act of 1987 (Public Law 100-259); and other nondiscrimination statutes: namely, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Title IX of the Amendments of 1972, the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, and the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990. They will also be in accordance with regulations of the Secretary of Agriculture (7 CFR 15, 15a, and 15b), which provide that no person in the United States shall on the grounds of race, color, national origin, gender, religion, age or disability, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or otherwise subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance from the U.S. Department of Agriculture or any agency thereof.

Section 2 Environmental Evaluation

2A Resource Concerns	2B Existing Condition	2C Alternatives and Effects		
		Proposed Action	No Action	Alternative
		Remove tree tops, tree trunks, tree root balls and other debris from one side of drainage system. See sec. 1E for specific information.	No removal of tree tops, tree trunks, tree root balls and other debris from drainage system.	Remove tree tops, tree trunks, tree root balls and other debris from both sides of drainage system. See sec. 1E for specific information.
2D Effects of Alternatives				
Soil				
Bank erosion	10 tons/acre	5 tons/acre	10 tons/acre	5 tons/acre
Compaction	n/a	Slight increase due to equipment	n/a	Moderate increase due to equipment
Water				
Flooding	Property and homes upstream subject to flooding from blockages	Upstream flooding significantly reduced during peak rainfall events once debris is removed	Property and homes subject to continued flooding during rainfall events	Upstream flooding significantly reduced during peak rainfall events once debris is removed
Excessive sediments and turbidity	Stream flow is minimally impacted in various points along drainage system from sediment and existing root balls will increase turbidity	Removal of root balls will significantly reduce sediments entering into drainage system and reduce souring effects	Sedimentation and turbidity will increase due to scouring	Removal of root balls will significantly reduce sediments entering into drainage system and reduce souring effects
Stream health (SVAP)	4.5 (Poor)	5.2 (Poor)	4.5 (Poor)	5.2 (Poor)
Air				
Particulate matter	n/a	Dry conditions will increase slightly with use of equipment	n/a	Dry conditions will increase moderately with use of equipment
Plant				
Plant health	Moderate decrease due to increase of debris	Removal of light debris will reduce plant suppression and moderately increase diversity of species.	Plant health will be suppressed until abundant debris decays.	Removal of light debris to increase plant health will moderately increase diversity of species.
Riparian Area	Moderate damage has occurred through loss of large trees	Removal of debris will allow for moderate increase in re-vegetative processes	Invasive species are present and may become predominate, causing impacts on benefits of buffer	Removal of light amount of debris will moderately increase benefits of buffer
Animal				
Aquatic life	Increased debris has caused stagnant water pools and traditional patterns have been interrupted	Removal of light debris will moderately increase natural conditions	Stagnant water pools may cause significant accumulation of undesirable aquatic species.	Removal of light debris will moderately increase flowage and recreate natural conditions
Small animals	Loss of large cavity trees as cover and source of food	Removal of suppressional debris will significantly increase escape cover by increasing understory growth and development of seed sources	Slow regeneration	Removal of suppressional debris will significantly increase enhancement and development of seed sources in an efficient period of time.
Other				
Human	Entanglement of large trees, tree tops, and tree root balls.	Safety factor of children will be significantly increased due to adjoining residual area	Safety issue	Safety factor of children will be significantly increased
Aesthetics	Entanglement of unsightly clutter of tops, logs, and root balls	Significantly increase through removal	Cause more unsightly conditions through accelerated growth of vines and brambles	Significantly increase through removal

Section 2E Special Environmental Concerns

Resource Consideration	Existing Condition	Alternatives and Effects		
		Proposed Action	No Action	Alternative
Clean Water Act Waters of the U.S.	CWA jurisdiction-poor water quality	CWA permit required-improve water quality and restore hydrology (Water Quality Cert.)	Poor water quality	CWA permit required-improve water quality and restore hydrology (Water Quality Cert.)
Coastal Zone Management Areas	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Coral Reefs	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cultural Resources	(FOTG) None observed on site	(FOTG) None observed on site	(FOTG) None observed on site	(FOTG) None observed on site
Endangered and Threatened Species	(FOTG) Federal/State list none observed on site	(FOTG) Federal/State list none observed on site	(FOTG) Federal/State list none observed on site	(FOTG) Federal/State list none observed on site
Environmental Justice	Increased risk of flooding to low income	Decrease risk of flooding to low income	Increased risk of flooding to low income	Decrease risk of flooding to low income
Essential Fish Habitat	(FOTG) No EFH	(FOTG) No EFH	(FOTG) No EFH	(FOTG) No EFH
Fish and Wildlife Coordination	No drainage modification required; therefore, consultation not required for debris removal	LDWF will be consulted	No drainage modification required; therefore, consultation not required for debris removal	LDWF will be consulted
Floodplain Management	100-year floodplain function is impaired	100-year floodplain function is restored	100-year floodplain function is impaired	100-year floodplain function is restored
Invasive Species	Chinese tallow trees and Japanese privet along drainage system	Creation of openings may enhance spread of undesirables but will increase control opportunities	Chinese tallow trees and Japanese privet along drainage system	Creation of openings may enhance spread of undesirables but will increase control opportunities
Migratory Birds	Food and cover quality reduced	Food and cover quality improved	Food and cover quality reduced	Food and cover quality improved
Natural Areas	Exist at lower end of drainage system	Aesthetics will be restored	Exist at lower end of drainage system	Aesthetics will be restored
Prime and Unique Farmlands	(FOTG) None present	(FOTG) None present	(FOTG) None present	(FOTG) None present
Riparian Areas	Area impacted by flooding due to light debris accumulation	Area improved through debris removal	Area impacted by flooding due to light debris accumulation	Area improved through debris removal
Scenic Beauty	Impaired by light amount of debris	Restored when debris is removed	Impaired by light amount of debris	Restored when debris is removed
Wetlands	Debris will cause increased flooding impacting wetland functions and degrading existing vegetation	Removal of debris will improve wetland function and protect upland vegetation from flooding	Debris will cause increased flooding impacting wetland functions and degrading existing vegetation	Removal of debris will improve wetland function and protect upland vegetation from flooding
Wild and Scenic Rivers	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Completed By: TLMDate: March 18, 2006

DSR NO: 019-05-069R

Section 2F Economic

This section must be completed by each alternative considered (attach additional sheets as necessary).

	Future Damages (\$)	Damage Factor (%)	Near Term Damage Reduction
Properties Protected (Private)			
4 homes x \$34,581	\$138,324	25%	\$34,581
1 home x \$74,102	\$74,102	25%	\$18,525.50
1 wooden bridge for driveway	\$3,000	25%	\$750
Properties Protected (Public)			
2-36" x 30' RCP (Clifford Rd & off Hillery Rd)	\$1,500	25%	\$375
4 48" x 50' CMP on Sutherland Rd.	\$10,000	25%	\$2,500
Business Losses			
Other			
Total Near Term Damage Reduction			\$56,731.50
Net Benefit (Total Near Term Damage Reduction minus Cost from Section 3)			\$

NOTE: Property values derived from Market Value Worksheets attached.

Completed By: Sarah Haymaker

Date: March 18, 2006

Section 2G Social Consideration

This section must be completed by each alternative considered (attach additional sheets as necessary).

	YES	NO	Remarks
Has there been a loss of life as a result of the watershed impairment?		X	
Is there the potential for loss of life due to damages from the watershed impairment?	X		Emergency vehicle access to areas affected could be restricted.
Has access to a hospital or medical facility been impaired by watershed impairment?		X	
Has the community as a whole been adversely impacted by the watershed impairment (life and property ceases to operate in a normal capacity)	X		Impairment increases flooding impact throughout community. Loss of electrical power and communications were experienced, access to homes will be limited or unavailable.
Is there a lack or has there been a reduction of public safety due to watershed impairment?	X		Future events could impact roadways, bridges and access to emergency services.

Completed By: Sarah Haymaker

Date: March 18, 2006

Section 2H Group Representation and Disability Information**This section is completed only for the preferred alternative selected.**

Group Representation	<i>Census</i>	Number	<i>Affected</i>
American Indian/Alaska Native Female Hispanic			
American Indian/Alaska Native Female Non-Hispanic			
American Indian/Alaska Native Male Hispanic			
American Indian/Alaska Native Male Non-Hispanic	2	<1%	
Asian Female Hispanic			
Asian Female Non-Hispanic	1	<1%	
Asian Male Hispanic			
Asian Male Non-Hispanic			
Black or African American Female Hispanic			
Black or African American Female Non-Hispanic	2	<1%	
Black or African American Male Hispanic			
Black or African American Male Non-Hispanic	3	1%	
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Female Hispanic			
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Female Non-Hispanic			
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Male Hispanic			
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Male Non-Hispanic			
White Female Hispanic	3	1%	
White Female Non-Hispanic	142	50%	8
White Male Hispanic	5	2%	
White Male Non-Hispanic	128	45%	7
Total Group	286	100%	15

NOTE: This demographic data was taken from the 2000 U.S. Census. See the attached tables for details. The data indicates there are 286 persons in 95 households. $286 \text{ p} / 95 \text{ h} = 3 \text{ persons/household}$. $5 \text{ h} \times 3 \text{ p/h} = 15 \text{ people in the area affected}$.

Census tract(s) Tract 22.01, Block 2008Completed By: Sarah HaymakerDate: March 18, 2006

Section 2I. Required consultation or coordination between the lead agency and/or the RFO and another governmental unit including tribes:

Easements, permissions, or permits:

Sponsor will secure all easements, permission to access work areas along drainage system on private lands.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 404 permit will be required where applicable.

CWA and water quality certification may be required for grubbing of stumps.

Mitigation Description:

Work will be performed from one side of the channel only as described in engineering report.

Debris will be disposed in approved and approved land fill site.

Removal or chipping debris from drainage system will improve water quality.

Agencies, persons, and references consulted, or to be consulted:

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality

LDWF for consultation purposes

Section 4 NRCS EWP Funding Priority

Complete the following section to compute the funding priority for the recovery measures in this application (see instructions on page 10).

Priority Ranking Criteria	Yes	No		Ranking Number Plus Modifier
1. Is this an exigency situation?		X		
2. Is this a site where there is serious, but not immediate threat to human life?	X			2 d, e
3. Is this a site where buildings, utilities, or other important infrastructure components are threatened?	X			
4. Is this site a funding priority established by the NRCS Chief?		X		
The following are modifiers for the above criteria			Modifier	
a. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve federally-listed threatened and endangered species or critical habitat?			N	
b. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve cultural sites listed on the National Register of Historic Places?			N	
c. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve prime or important farmland?			N	
d. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve existing wetlands?			Y	
e. Will the proposed action or alternatives maintain or improve current water quality conditions?			Y	
f. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve unique habitat, including but not limited to, areas inhabited by State-listed species, fish and wildlife management area, or State identified sensitive habitats?			N	

Enter priority computation in Section 1A, NRCS Entry, Funding priority number.

Remarks:

Section 5A Findings

Finding: Indicate the preferred alternative from Section 2 (Enter to Section 1E):

Remove debris from the south side of drainage system accessing from western waypoint 44 going east to waypoint 52 which is approximately 1800 feet (1500' X 1.2%). Debris will be removed from north side of drainage system from waypoint 52 to 62 which is approximately 2800 feet. Debris will be removed and/or chipped. Removed debris will be disposed of in an approved land fill site. If debris is chipped then care will be taken not to make residue too deep or too close to drain.

I have considered the effects of the action and the alternatives on the Environmental Economic, Social; the Special Environmental Concerns; and the extraordinary circumstances (40 CFR 1508.27). I find for the reasons stated below, that the preferred alternative:

 X Has been sufficiently analyzed in the EWP PEIS (reference all that apply)

Chapter 5.2.2.1.2

Chapter _____

Chapter _____

Chapter _____

Chapter _____

 May require the preparation of an environmental assessment or environmental impact statement.

The action will be referred to the NRCS State Office on this date:

NRCS representative of the DSR team

Title: _____ (TLM) _____ Date: _____ (3/06) _____

Section 5B Comments:

Section 5C

Sponsor Concurrence: _____

Sponsor Representative

Title: _____ Date: _____



Section 6 Attachments:

- A. Location Map
- B. Site Plan or Sketches
- C. Other (explain)


SECTION 6

ATTACHMENTS


1B-4




SITE MAP
DSR 019-05-069R
1B-4
Calcasieu Parish



TOPO MAP
DSR 019-05-069R
1B-4
Calcasieu Parish



Debris Removal




Note: Contract is to remove Debris from upstream and downstream Bridge which includes underside of bridge

Exception: All Crossing which cross State or Federal highways are not included in contract

Typical Road Bridge Crossing Not to Scale

Notice:
48 Hours Before Digging
Call 1-800-272-3020

Debris Removal




Note: Contract is to remove Debris from upstream and downstream Culverts which includes inside of culverts

Exception: All Crossing which cross State or Federal highways are not included in contract

Typical Road Culvert type Crossing Not to Scale

Notice:
48 Hours Before Digging
Call 1-800-272-3020

Debris Removal



Typical Section Not to Scale

Notice:
48 Hours Before Digging
Call 1-800-272-3020

***Note :** Access and work both sides; however work to be performed on one side only in any reach as concurred in by COTR.

Exception it may be possible that trees which were located outside of the the removal limits may have fallen into the removal limits, the entire tree will be removed back to the root ball even if only a portion of the tree is within the removal limits

Channel Obstruction Evaluation

SITE INFORMATION

Parish: <u>CALCASIEU</u>		Site: <u>134</u>	
City:			
Sponsor:			
Date: <u>3-16-06</u>		Reach:	From - <u>4700 lin. ft.</u>
Evaluation Team: <u>Pete Anderson</u>			To -

PHOTO NUMBERS AND BRIEF DESCRIPTION

WAYPOINTS

(CIRCLE location and record in Decimal Degrees)

Photo #	Description	Waypoints
	<u>See Attached sheets</u>	Start Work (D/S end) <u>30.35073 93.25657</u> Midstream End Work (U/S end) <u>30.35163 93.26669</u>

NEARBY AND UPSTREAM STRUCTURES

(Fill in Numbers, Values, and Size)

CHURCHES		SCHOOLS		PUBLIC FACILITIES	
No. of Churches		No. of Schools		No. of Public Facilities	
HOMESITES			BUSINESSES		
No. of Homesites		No. of Businesses			
Average Value of Homes (X \$1,000)		Size of Businesses		S	M L

STREAM CROSSINGS

(CIRCLE type and write material, size and length)

TYPE	MATERIAL	NUMBER, SIZE, & LENGTH
Bridge	<u>wood</u>	
Culverts	<u>36" CONCRETE</u>	
Other or None		

UTILITIES

(CHECK the location of the utilities in the area and CIRCLE stream orientation)

	Overhead (Power, Cable, etc.)	U/S	D/S
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Buried (<u>Gas</u> , Sewer, <u>water</u> , etc.)	U/S	D/S
	Elevated Cross channel (Water, Gas, etc.)	U/S	D/S

Remarks: HIGH PRESSURE GAS @ WP 30.35073 93.263894

CHANNEL CHARACTERISTICS

(CHECK appropriate box for slope and fill in dimensions information)

FLOW

SLOPES		DIMENSIONS		Is Water Flowing?	
	1.5 : 1 or steeper	Top Width (ft.)	<u>10-20</u>	YES	NO
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1.5 : 1 through 3 : 1 Slope	Bottom Width (ft.)	<u>2-5</u>	Is debris accumulating? (i.e. Leaves, Trash)	
	Flatter than 3 : 1	Depth (ft.)	<u>2-3</u>	YES	NO

STATEMENT OF PROBLEM

(CHECK the boxes as needed, and CIRCLE the size of debris that applies)

DEBRIS	IN CHANNEL	ACROSS CHANNEL	SIZE OF DEBRIS			BLOCKAGE	
						% of X-Section Obstructed:	
Pine Trees	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<u>Light</u>	Moderate	Heavy	<u>Less than 25%</u>	26%-50%
Hardwoods	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				51%-75%	76%-100%
Shrubs							

Other (explain)

WORK METHOD AND LOCATION

(CHECK the box that best applies)

	Within Channel Floating Equipment (i.e. Barge or Marsh Buggy)
	Within Channel Non - Floating Equipment (Excavator/Track-hoe, Spider, etc)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	From Top Banks

ACCESS TO SITE

(Explain access issues and possible difficulties)